ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA627016 09/15/2014

Filing date:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

| Proceeding | 85831682 |
|---------------------------|---|
| Applicant | Adlon Brand GmbH & Co. KG c/o FUNDUS FON |
| Applied for Mark | ADLON |
| Correspondence Address | JENNIFER FRASER NOVAK DRUCE CONNOLLY BOVE + QUIGG LLP PO BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19899-2207 UNITED STATES trademarks@novakdruce.com |
| Submission | Applicant's Request to Extend |
| Attachments | ADLON Motion to Extend1.pdf(135130 bytes) |
| Filer's Name | Christina M. Hillson |
| Filer's e-mail | trademarks@novakdruce.com, christina.hillson@novakdruce.com, TM@novakdruce.com, jennifer.fraser@novakdruce.com |
| Signature | /cmh/ |
| Date | 09/15/2014 |

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In re:

Trademark Application Serial No.: 85/831,682 :

Filed: January 24, 2013 :

Mark: ADLON : Attorney Docket No. 22407-00118

Adlon Brand GmbH & Co. KG c/o FUNDUS FONDS – Verwaltungen GmbH, Applicant.

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE APPLICANT'S MAIN BRIEF

Pursuant to TBMP § 1203.02(d), Applicant Adlon Brand GmbH & Co. KG c/o FUNDUS FONDS – Verwaltungen GmbH, hereby moves the Board to grant a thirty-day extension of time to file its Applicant's Main Brief (the "Brief") in the above-captioned proceeding.

Presently, the Brief is due September 19, 2014 (i.e., sixty days after the resumption of the Appeal on July 21, 2014). This motion is being filed before the due date for the Brief.

Subject to the approval of the Board, it is respectfully requested that Applicant's Main Brief be due October 19, 2014 (because this day is a Sunday, a timely Brief can be filed Monday October 20).

It is respectfully submitted there is good cause for the extension. Applicant's attorney is under the press of other business and/or would also like additional time to confer with the client who is outside the United States. See TBMP § 1203.02(d) (stating that "the press of other business" can be good cause for the first extension). It is respectfully submitted that these grounds constitute sufficient good cause for granting the requested extension.

This is the first request for extension filed and thus the privilege of extensions has not been abused. See Societa Per Azioni Chianti Ruffino Esportazione Vinicola Toscana v. Colli Spolentini Spoletoducale SCRL, 59 USPQ2d 1383, 1384 (TTAB 2001) (granting the first extension request filed by movant). "Ordinarily, the Board is liberal in granting extensions of time before the period to act has elapsed, so long as the moving party has not been guilty of negligence or bad faith and the privilege of extensions is not abused." American Vitamin Products, Inc. v. DowBrands, Inc., 22 USPQ2d 1313, 1315 (TTAB 1992) (finding good cause because the movant needed additional time to gather information for discovery responses).

Applicant is requesting this extension in good faith, and this extension is not interposed for delay and not due to any lack of diligence by Applicant. It is respectfully submitted that these grounds constitute sufficient good cause for granting the extension.

Should the Board determine these grounds are not sufficient, it is respectfully requested that Applicant be allowed additional time after the denial to file the Brief.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that this Motion for an Extension of Time be granted. Please contact the undersigned if anything additional is required.

Dated: September 15, 2014 Respectfully submitted,

/cmh/

Jennifer Fraser, Esq.
Christina M. Hillson, Esq.
Novak Druce Connolly Bove + Quigg LLP
P. O. Box 2207
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 658-9141
trademarks@novakdruce.com
Attorneys for Applicant